



## MDA's Scalable Homeland Innovative Enterprise Layered Defense (SHIELD) Webinar

6 August 2025

7361 Calhoun Place, Suite 560
Rockville, MD
service@ostglobalsolutions.com
301.384.3350 • www.ostglobalsolutions.com

#### **About OST**





#### WE'VE WON OUR CLIENTS OVER \$28 BILLION IN GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS SINCE 2005

**BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, CAPTURE, AND PROPOSAL CONSULTING** 

Build Portfolio of Indefinite Delivery

Vehicles



Develop Opportunity Pipelines





Win Proposals Optimize Your Processes





#### REGISTERED APPRENTICESHIP IN GOVERNMENT BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT; 18 COURSES IN ALL ASPECTS OF FEDERAL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

Certified Business Developer



Certified Capture Manager



Certified Proposal Manager



Certified Proposal Coordinator



Certified Proposal Writer



SBIR/STTR Proposal Lab for Maryland and Alabama SBA FAST Grant





# Proposal Organization



Volume	Title	Submission	Page Limit
1	(L-2.0) Administrative Submissions		
	(L-2.1) Administrative Information	Signed SF33, the content required per FAR 52.215-1 (c) (2) paragraphs (i) thru (v), and L-2.1 paragraphs c through g. To be developed by the offeror using the format provided in L-1.4.	N/A
	(L-2.3.1) Representations and Certifications	Fill-ins from Section K of the solicitation that require contractor input. No limit HQ085925RE001 Page 114 of 127	N/A
	(L-2.3.3.1) Bankruptcy/Adverse Information	To be developed by the offeror using the format provided in L-1.4.	1
	(L-2.3.3.2) Proof Of Financial Capability and Stability	Contractor documentation is acceptable. Not restricted by L-1.4.	N/A
	(L-2.3.3.3) Foreign Ownership	SF 328, Attachment L-02	8
	(L-2.3.3.4) Conflict of Interest	To be developed by the offeror using the format provided in L-1.4.	1
	(L-2.4) Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Other Than Small Businesses Only)	To be developed by the offeror using the format provided in L-1.4.	N/A
II	(L-3.0) Technical		
	(L-3.0) Factor I - Corporate Experience	Offeror Response Template, Attachment L-03	6

#### **Evaluation Criteria**



- Awards will be given to all qualified offerors
- A qualified offeror:
  - A responsible source
  - Submits a technically acceptable proposal
  - Will offer fair and reasonable pricing
- Price is not evaluated at the ID/IQ level
- Acceptable/Unacceptable rating for Factor 1 Corporate Experience

Rating	Description
Acceptable	The offeror has demonstrated recent and relevant corporate experience in at least two IDIQ Section C scope of work areas.
Unacceptable	The offeror has NOT demonstrated recent and relevant corporate experience in at least two IDIQ Section C scope of work areas.

#### Volume II - Technical



- Recency: Performed within 5 years from the date of this solicitation
- Relevancy: The offeror's corporate experience involves some of the scope that would be under the Section C scope description's comparable areas and the offeror's corporate experience, in a missile defense or similarly related application
- Provide experience in a minimum of 2 but no more than 3 of the work areas at the prime or subcontractor level
- For an offeror's corporate experience to be acceptable it must demonstrate recent and relevant corporate experience in more than one of the Section C work areas

# Scope Areas



Section C Work Areas		
2.1 Science and Technology (S&T)	2.11 Integration and Assembly	
2.2 Research and Development (R&D)	2.12 Production and Fielding	
2.3 Prototyping	2.13 Test and Evaluation (T&E)	
2.4 Studies, Demonstrations, Testing of Prototypes	2.14 Operation and Sustainment	
2.5 Disruptive Technologies	2.15 Modernization	
2.6 Experimentation	2.16 Hardware and Software Modifications	
2.7 Architecture Development	2.17 Data Mining/Collection/Analysis	
2.8 Modeling, Simulation, and Analysis	2.18 Minor Facilities Engineering/Construction	
2.9 Systems Engineering	2.19 Cybersecurity	
2.10 Weapon Design and Development		

## What is Not in Scope



- Not for Advisory or Assistance or for Systems Engineering and Technical Assistance
- All advisory and assistance services are classified in one of the following definitional subdivisions:
  - Management and professional support services
  - Studies, analyses, and evaluations
  - Engineering and technical services
- All systems engineering and technical assistance are classified in one of the following definitional subdivisions:
  - Systems engineering
  - Technical assistance
  - Systems engineering and technical assistance

#### Att. L-03: Corporate Experience Form



- Offerors must provide examples of contracts that cover at least 2 of the Work Ares.
- The experience provided must be from a missile defense or similarly related application.
- Ensure no "Out of Scope" language is used in your write ups.

CORPORATE EXPERIENCE NUMBER A		
List the scope area(s) from paragraph 2 of Section C of the solicitation:		
1. Experience for:  Offeror for this Solicitation Other (Identify below, e.g.: Joint Venture		
Member, Corporate affiliate, Subcontractor, etc.)		
Name of entity that performed services for this Project:		
Fill in the fields below as applicable.		
Entity CAGE: Entity UEI: Entity EIN:		
2. Entity role in project: Prime Contractor Joint Venture Member		
Other (Identify):		
Percent of project work performed by entity listed in Box 1:		
Percent of project work subcontracted:		
3. Contract Number:		
Title: Location:		
Client Point of Contact: Client Phone Number:		

#### Att. L-03: Corporate Experience Form



- Only 600 characters to describe your experience and relevancy.
- This template must be signed in the applicable fields by the cognizant contracting officer or private sector equivalent such as a company official who is authorized to contractually bind their organization.
- In lieu of a signature, the offeror may choose to provide a Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) record of the contract; a signature is not required for this option

Client Email Address:			
4. Award Date (mm/dd/	yy):		
Completion Date (mm/c	ld/yy):		
5. Type of Contract: (C	neck ALL that apply)		
☐ Firm-Fixed Price	Cost/Time and Ma	iterial	
Other (explain):		_	
6. Provide a detailed de	scription of the experien	ce and the relevancy to the	requirements of this solicitation
Times New Roman, 11-	John Tolli.		
Choose One: FPDS-NG	record (attached) S	ignature below by reference	e identified in Block 3 🗌
	stitutes acceptance of t	-	entative, or Corporate Official on to be accurate as ordered
Signature Block will be	added below:		
-			

# Missile Defense or Simliar Application – Where to Draw the Line?



- Same kill-chain, different badge. "Similar application" means the work still closes the detect-decide-defeat loop against aerial or missile threats— even if the threat class, operating domain, or sponsoring Service changes.
  - Integrated Air & Missile Defense upgrades (e.g., Army Patriot + Integrated Battle-Command System).
  - Space-based missile-warning / tracking (SBIRS, Hypersonic & Ballistic Tracking Space Sensor).
  - Glide-phase / counter-hypersonic interceptor R&D.
  - Battle-management software spirals for Aegis BMD or C2BMC.
  - Directed-energy or kinetic interceptors for cruise-missile or UAS defeat technologies.
  - High-fidelity M&S environments that replicate threat flight & interceptor performance.
- System-of-Systems (SoS). SHIELD's C.2.7 (Architecture Development), C.2.9 (Systems Engineering), & C.2.11 (Integration & Assembly) presuppose cross-Service sensor fusion and joint weapon orchestration.
- Senior leaders echo the need: Gen Guetlein told Congress the Space Force is "providing commanders with space integration and synchronization assets they need to conduct all-domain operations." (gen guetlein witness statement.pdf)

#### **3 Similiarity Questions**

- 1.Mission Tread: Does it support the
   "Kill Chain" (Detect -> Track > Engage)?
- **2.Technical DNA:** Does it include the same disciplines (sensors, interceptors, C2, AI/ML, cyberhardening, digital engineering)?
- **3.Operational Context:** Was it fielded for DoD users in a high-reliability or classified environment?

If you can answer yes to all three, then you're good to go.

If the work is advisory, budgetary, or back-office IT, then it won't count.

## Relevant vs. Not Relevant Examples



Topic	In Scope	Looks Similar but Out of Scope
Sensor & Track Integration	Integrated the Army Lower Tier Air and Missile Defense Sensor (LTAMDS) and the Navy AN/SPY-6(V)1 radars into the Command and Control, Battle Management, and Communications (C2BMC) system, cutting track latency by 40 ms (Scope 2.7 + 2.11)	Wrote a radar-roadmap white paper for the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (OUSD(R&E)) —an Advisory & Assistance Services (A&AS) study
C2 / Battle- Management Software	Delivered an AI-enabled fire-control algorithm for Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) Baseline 10 (Scope 2.8 + 2.9)	Ran a help desk and applied routine patches for Battle Management, Command, Control & Communications (BM/C3) servers—enterprise IT sustainment with no kill-chain impact
Interceptor / Weapon Dev.	Built a glide-phase-interceptor seeker prototype and completed flight tests (Scope 2.1 + 2.10)	Performed Independent Verification & Validation (IV&V) compliance reviews of a prime's Patriot software—technical assistance (A&AS)
Test & Evaluation	Planned and executed a live-fire pairing of Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) with the Standard Missile-6 (SM-6), collecting multi-sensor telemetry (Scope 2.4 + 2.13)	Drafted generic test requirements and chaired readiness reviews for an MDA PMO—Systems-Engineering & Technical Assistance (SETA)
Data, AI & Digital Engineering	Fused Overhead Persistent Infrared (OPIR) satellite tracks with radio-frequency data, boosting engage-on-remote probability 15 % (Scope 2.17)	Ran Monte-Carlo cost models for the Five-Year Defense Program (FYDP) budget drills—analysis support
Facilities / Infrastructure	Built a hardened, classified ground station for the Space-Based Infrared System (SBIRS) Follow-On constellation (Scope 2.18 + 2.14)	Managed an office-space renovation at Redstone Arsenal HQ unrelated to mission systems

2025 | 12

# Need to be Specific – Don't Leave Anything to Chance



Past Work	Why it's Borderline	Tie Experience to a Missle Defense System or Similar Application
Enterprise cloud migration for a Service HQ	Generic IT lift-and-shift	<ul> <li>Emphasize cyber-hardening of BM/C3 enclaves (Scope 2.19)</li> <li>Show how zero-downtime cut-over preserved real-time missile-tracking feeds</li> <li>Quantify data-throughput or latency gains benefiting C2BMC operators</li> </ul>
Big-data lakehouse for logistics analytics	Focused on supply chain	<ul> <li>Re-frame as predictive sustainment for THAAD/Aegis components (Scope 2.14)</li> <li>Highlight AI models that forecast interceptor part failures, boosting readiness</li> </ul>
SOC-as-a-Service for a CONUS base	Looks like routine cyber MSSP work	<ul> <li>Identify if the SOC monitored Ground-based Midcourse Defense (GMD) or SBIRS networks</li> <li>Stress threat-hunting rules that detect kill-chain disruption attempts (Scope 2.19)</li> </ul>
Model-based systems- engineering (MBSE) tool rollout	Could be enterprise process consulting	<ul> <li>Link to digital thread for Hypersonic Glide Vehicle interceptor (Scope 2.8, 2.9)</li> <li>Show how MBSE cut design-to-prototype cycle by X %</li> </ul>
Joint all-domain C2 (JADC2) data fabric prototype	Too broad—could be anything	<ul> <li>Pin down the portion that routes OPIR &amp; RF tracks to shooters.</li> <li>Cite latency benchmarks that enable engage-on-remote shots (Scope 2.7)</li> </ul>
DevSecOps pipeline for a Navy software factory	Generic software enablement	<ul> <li>Note container-hardening baseline now used on Aegis BMD Baseline 10 builds</li> <li>Tie to rapid push of fire-control patches (Scope 2.16)</li> </ul>



#### Capture Recommendations



- Read the Draft RFP documents
- Industry Day is tomorrow, August 8<sup>th</sup> in Huntsville, AL
- Questions to consider:
  - Would the gov't provide examples of similar programs that are in scope?
  - Will system of system support experience from other branches be considered full relevancy?
  - What is the bright line between disallowed 'technical assistance' and allowed 'integration & assembly'?
  - Is there a required number of scope areas each corporate experience write up must map to?
  - Will MDA run annual on-ramps, and will newcomers compete on the same baseline?

## Next Steps & Resources



- Schedule a call for a review of your past performance or to discuss our lisencing offer
- We provide market analysis, BD strategy, pipeline management, opportunity qualification, and other capture support.
- Schedule time to discuss your business development needs:
  - <a href="https://calendly.com/ostglobalsolutions/bdconsulting?month=2023-09">https://calendly.com/ostglobalsolutions/bdconsulting?month=2023-09</a>
- Upload your capabilities for opportunities in our subcontractor portal:
  - <a href="https://www.ostglobalsolutions.com/teaming-partner-match-portal/">https://www.ostglobalsolutions.com/teaming-partner-match-portal/</a>
- We regularly publish updates to major contracts through our newsletter and blog:
  - Blog: https://www.ostglobalsolutions.com/blog/
  - Newsletter sign up: https://www.ostglobalsolutions.com/tag/email/

#### Sign up an appointment



## Let's Partner in Winning Business





David Huff CEO

**c:** 513-316-0993

**o**: 301-384-3350

e: dhuff@ostglobalsolutions.com

